## CONTENTS

- 1 INTRODUCTION
  - 1.1 Why auditory cues are useful for attention management 1
  - 1.2 Auditory cue characteristics 3
  - 1.3 Spatial attention 11
  - 1.4 Thesis overview and discussion 20

1

- 1.5 Declaration of contribution 31
- 2 INDIVIDUAL DIFFERENCES IN RESPONDING TO AUDITORY CUES 35
  - 2.1 Abstract 35
  - 2.2 Introduction 35
  - 2.3 Related work 38
  - 2.4 Study 41
  - 2.5 Discussion 49
  - 2.6 Conclusion and outlook 51
  - 2.7 Acknowledgments 52
- 3 BRAIN RESPONSES TO SEMANTICALLY EQUIVALENT AUDITORY CUES 53
  - 3.1 Abstract 53
  - 3.2 Introduction 53
  - 3.3 Related work 56
  - 3.4 Study methods 62
  - 3.5 Results 67
  - 3.6 Discussion 68
  - 3.7 Conclusion and future work 73
  - 3.8 Acknowledgments 75
- 4 TEMPORAL DYNAMICS OF AUDITORY LOOMING CUES 77
  - 4.1 Abstract 77
  - 4.2 Introduction 77
  - 4.3 Experiment 1: Do auditory looming sounds enhance peripheral tilt-discrimination performance across its presented duration? 81
  - 4.4 Experiment 2: Can the sustained performance benefit of an auditory looming sound at late CTOAs be attributed to its high intensity when the visual target appears? 83
  - 4.5 Discussion 84
  - 4.6 Methods 88

## 5 NEURAL CORRELATES FOR THE AUDITORY CUE BENEFIT 93

- 5.1 Abstract 93
- 5.2 Introduction 93
- 5.3 Methods 96
- 5.4 Results 102

- 5.5 Discussion 111
- 5.6 Conclusion 117

## 6 APPENDIX: THE PERSISTENCE OF THE AUDITORY LOOMING CUE BENEFIT POST CUE PRESENTATION 119

- 6.1 Introduction 119
- 6.2 Methods 120
- 6.3 Results and discussion 121

References 125