

Table of contents

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	XI
LIST OF TABLES AND CHARTS	XV
1. INTRODUCTION: RELEVANCE OF THE SUBJECT AND STRUCTURE OF THE THESIS	17
2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK AND RESEARCH DESIGN	25
2.1. Significance and limitations of existing research (state of research).....	25
2.1.1. The significance of non-state armed groups (NSAG) and their compliance with international humanitarian law (IHL).....	26
2.1.1.1. The rise of non-state armed groups in light of new wars	26
2.1.1.2. Why humanitarian organisations need to consider the internal structures and micro- politics of NSAG	29
2.1.1.3. The applicability of the international humanitarian law to NSAG.....	33
2.1.2. Humanitarian principles and engagement with rebel groups.....	43
2.1.2.1. Humanitarian principles: the basis for humanitarian interactions with rebel groups	43
2.1.2.2. Interaction levels and modes between humanitarian actors and NSAG	46
2.1.2.3. Humanitarian negotiations with NSAG	53
2.1.3. Insights from Organisational Sociology, Social Psychology and Network Theory	59
2.2. Theoretical assumptions on interactions between humanitarian organisations and rebel groups	67
2.2.1. Systematisation of interaction modes - basic assumptions	67
2.2.2. Variables influencing interaction modes between humanitarian organisations and rebel groups (research hypotheses).....	78

2.2.2.1. Organisational goals.....	80
2.2.2.2. Organisational members.....	85
2.2.2.3. Organisational structures.....	87
2.2.2.4. Organisational resources	90
2.2.2.5. Humanitarian organisations' perceptions and framing of rebel groups.....	94
2.3. Research design and method	99
3. CASE STUDY ON HUMANITARIAN INTERACTIONS WITH THE LORD'S RESISTANCE ARMY (LRA)	115
3.1. The Lord's Resistance Army: history and organisational characteristics	115
3.1.1. The conflict with the Lord's Resistance Army: historical overview	115
3.1.1.1. The LRA conflict between 1986 and 2008	115
3.1.1.2. Operation 'Lightning Thunder' and its aftermath (2008 – 2011).....	123
3.1.1.3. Regional and international initiatives to end LRA violence and status quo (2011 until today).....	129
3.1.2. LRA's characteristics and organisational behaviour.....	133
3.2. Humanitarian engagement in LRA-affected areas.....	147
3.2.1. Victims and extent of humanitarian crisis.....	147
3.2.2. Humanitarian engagement in LRA-affected areas	148
3.3. Description: interaction modes between humanitarian organisations and the LRA.....	157
3.3.1. Evasive interaction modes	157
3.3.2. Passive interaction modes	157
3.3.2.1. Disregard/ignorance	157
3.3.2.2. Information and analysis	165
3.3.3. Active interaction modes	169
3.3.3.1. Humanitarian mediation	169
3.3.3.2. Marginalisation/denunciation (loud advocacy)	172
3.3.3.3. Persuasion/dissemination (quiet advocacy)	173
3.3.3.4. Consultation	175
3.3.3.5. Cooperation/collaboration	178

3.4. Analysis: empirical applicability of theoretical assumptions: differences in organisational behaviour	179
3.4.1. Organisational goals of humanitarian organisations affecting possible engagement with the LRA.....	179
3.4.2. Organisational members.....	181
3.4.3. Organisational structures.....	183
3.4.4. Organisational resources affecting interactions with the LRA.....	189
3.4.5. Humanitarian organisations' perceptions and framing of the LRA	191
4. CONCLUSION	197
4.1. Discussion of research findings.....	197
4.2. Limitations of the study and perspectives for future research....	215
BIBLIOGRAPHY	219
ANNEXES.....	237